

Agent Dependability in Open Systems



Moderator:

- ◆ *Rogério de Lemos (University of Kent, UK)*

Panellists:

- ◆ *Gul Agha (University of Illinois - USA)*
- ◆ *Gruia Catalin-Roman (Washington University - USA)*
- ◆ *Holger Giese (University of Paderborn - Germany)*

Agent Dependability in Open Systems

- ◆ Agent is an *autonomous, adaptive* and interactive element that has a *mental state*;
- ◆ Dependability is the ability of a computing system to deliver its service that can justifiably be trusted.
 - ◆ threats, attributes, and means (or technologies);
- ◆ Open systems, such as the Internet, create conditions where systems can interact and collaborate with one another;

Last year's Panel was on “agents and dependability”, with emphasis on agents:

- ◆ Features that might not so useful for enabling dependability:
 - ◆ Autonomy (failure assumptions), introspection (mental state), etc.
- ◆ Role to be played by agents;
 - ◆ building blocks, additional layer, etc.
- ◆ Restrictions to be imposed on agents;
 - ◆ failure assumptions, distributed consensus, etc.

Panel Topic



This year's Panel has emphasis on “agent communities and dependability”:

- ◆ Collection of agents, communication, and coordination, etc.

- ◆ Focus on “open systems” rather than architectures:
 - ◆ Open Architecture Agent (OAA), Jade, Zeus, etc.;

Multi-Agent Communities



Agent communities in open systems:

◆ *Heterogeneous:*

- ◆ created by different people with different intents at different times, using different languages;

◆ *Autonomous:*

- ◆ own goals, and own thread of control;

◆ *Interactions and interoperability:*

- ◆ join/leave at any time, interact with anyone, and perform any action;

◆ *Dynamic composition and coordination:*

- ◆ contract creation, and business relationships;

Multi-Agent Communities



Five problematic areas in multi-agent communities:

- ◆ *Autonomy:*
 - ◆ how to use, control and manage it?
- ◆ *Communication:*
 - ◆ how to ensure interoperability?
 - ◆ standard protocols might restrict agent autonomy;
- ◆ *Coordination:*
 - ◆ how to ensure coherent actions?
- ◆ *Knowledge:*
 - ◆ how to enable automatic and interactive discovery of requirements and instructions?
- ◆ *Dependability* (usually considered as an afterthought!):
 - ◆ how to ensure trust on the services delivered?

- ◆ Rigorous designs (fault prevention):
 - ◆ semantic Web and Web standards:
 - ◆ XML, SOAP, WSDL, UDDI, etc.
 - ◆ agent standards:
 - ◆ DAML+OIL (DARPA Agent Markup Language Activity), FIPA Agent Communication Language (ACL), Knowledge Interchange Format (KIF), Knowledge Query Manipulation Language (KQML), etc.
 - ◆ formal approaches to agent-oriented software;
 - ◆ methodologies for design and analysis of agent systems;
 - ◆ e.g., Gaia, Tropos;
 - ◆ tool support;
 - ◆ security in the context of agent-oriented software engineering (Bresciani);

- ◆ Fault tolerance:
 - ◆ most solutions use exception handling techniques:
 - ◆ classes of exceptions and handling mechanisms (Dellarocas & Klein):
 - ◆ infrastructure failures, protocol violations, and systemic exceptions;
 - ◆ the separation and encapsulation of exception handling for an agent environment in a special agent *<i>guardian</i>* (Tripathi & Miller);
 - ◆ application dependent, cannot exploit autonomy, and can restrict coordination;
 - ◆ no solutions that have exploited classes of faults;
 - ◆ not quite clear how to reach agreement in the presence of malicious faults (e.g., group communication algorithms);

It seems that so far there has not been major outcomes in the following two areas:

- ◆ Verification & validation (fault removal):
 - ◆ tests for multi-agents systems (Haendchen Fl., et. al);
 - ◆ model checking the behavioural description of the different modules of an agent (e.g., domain tasks);
- ◆ System evaluation (fault forecasting):
 - ◆ Markov models, and stochastic Petri nets;

Questions to the Panel

Complexity of open systems and the environment heterogeneity requires multiple coordination strategies.

- ◆ How to obtain *dependable agent communities* for open systems?
 - ◆ What are the challenges in terms of dependability technologies?
 - ◆ design time (rigorous design, and verification & validation);
 - ◆ run time (fault tolerance);
 - ◆ What are the restrictions that have to be imposed on agents and/or architectures for open systems?
 - ◆ How features commonly associated with agents can be exploited?
 - ◆ adaptability, autonomy, learning, mobility etc.

Questions to the Panel



Agents can be autonomous and adaptive, and this in the legal and social context has its implications.

- ◆ Who should be held responsible if an agent fails, and causing the services delivered by its community to be catastrophic?
 - ◆ What are the safeguards that a community should have to deal entities “misdemeanours”?
 - ◆ How a society of agents can tolerate an agent failure?
 - ◆ What kind of redundancies should be considered in societal terms?

Panellists



- ◆ *Gul Agha (University of Illinois - USA)*
 - ◆ dependability is an aggregate property that can only be approximated;

- ◆ *Gruia Catalin-Roman (Washington University - USA)*
 - ◆ dependability is the desired outcome of a game played on multiple levels ;

- ◆ *Holger Giese (University of Paderborn - Germany)*
 - ◆ safety is different!;